IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CONTEMPT PETITION NO.311 OF 2001

IN

WRIT PETITION NO.6393 OF 1998

Prithviraj Rajaram Dhane & Ors. ...Petitioners

Versus

Special Land Acquisition Officer

No.4 & Ors. ...Respondents

.....

Mr.Rahul S.Kate for Petitioners.

Mr.D.A.Patil, A.G.P. for Respondents 1 to 4.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

AUGUST 9, 2006.

P.C.

1. Grievance is made in this Petition that inspite of this Court having quashed and set-aside, Notification under Section 4 of the Land Acquisition Act and notice/award passed under Section 12(2) in respect of the suit land, the Tahsildar, Satara, for reasons best known to him, has initiated action and gave notice to the

Petitioners, calling upon the Petitioners to refrain from interfering with the possession of the complainant. It is not in dispute that after the said notice was issued on 16th May 2000, Petitioners filed reply before the Tahsildar and placed on record order passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.6393 of 1998 dated 1st December 1998. After the said order was brought to the notice of the Tahsildar, he has not proceeded with the action filed by Mr.Pralhad Bhosle and others. If it is so, there is no question of initiating action for having committed contempt of Court.

2. Petition disposed of accordingly. Notices issued to Respondents stand discharged.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.